

8

✓

304

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE
DATED THIS THE 8TH DAY OF JUNE 1998

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE CHANDRASHEKARAIHAH
WRIT PETITION NO. 4845 OF 1995 (LB)

BETWEEN:

Ramakrishna,
S/o Chikkaputtaiiah,
Site No.74, II Stage,
Industrial Suburb,
Mysore South. ..Petitioner.
(By Sri. B.N.Dayananda . Adv., for petr.)

AND:

1. Mysore City Corporation,
New Sayyaji Rao Road,
Mysore.
by its Commissioner.
2. Mysore Urban Development
Authority,
Jansi Laxmibai Road,
Mysore, by its Commissioner.

...2

546
7-11-98

3. The Government of Karnataka
represented by Secretary,
to Government, Housing,
and Urban Development Department,
M.S. Buildings,
Dr. Ambedkar Veedhi,
Bangalore.

4. T.R.Mohan,
S/o V.T.Raman,
Vivekananda Road,
Yadavagiri,
Mysore- 20.

.. Respondents.

(BY Sri. M.Papanna. Adv., for R1. &
Sri. G.S.Vishweswara . for R-4,)

* * *

Writ petition filed under Articles
226 and 227 of the Constitution of India
with an affidavit praying to, direct the
respondents 1 and 2 to take necessary steps
to demolish the illegal construction of
Cinema Theatre in premises No. 27/B, Indus-
trial suburb 3rd stage, Mysore South,

...3

366

-3-

Mysore belonging to fourth respondent by holding the construction of Cinema Theatre on an Industrial site is contrary to Zonal Regulation and in violation of C.D.P. & Etc.,

Writ petition coming on for final disposal, this day the court made the following:-

O R D E R

The petitioner has sought for direction to respondents- 1 and 2 to take necessary steps to demolish the cinema theatre in premises No.27/B, Industrial suburb, 3rd Stage, Mysore south, Mysore, as it is contrary to the Zonal Regulation and in violation of CDP.

The learned counsel for the Corporation submitted that the corporation has not granted any licence in favour of the 4th


...4

367

-4-

respondent. If the Corporation has not granted any such licence in favour of respondent-4 permitting him to put up cinema theatre on the abovesaid site, and if the 4th respondent makes any attempt to put up any construction, it is open for the petitioner to bring it to the notice of the Corporation to take appropriate action under Sec.321(1) of the KMC Act.

With the above observation, writ petition is disposed off.



Sd/- JUDGE

RR

r.by: *[Signature]*

c.by: *[Signature]*
24/8/98

COPY

for *[Signature]*
ASST. REGISTRAR
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
BANGALORE.

11/31/98

16/9